summary, all parties responding to the proposed rules believed that the requirement for a separate document for every LOA went further than was necessary to address the perceived problem.

٠.

The final conclusions of the FPSC hearing officer were the following. Slamming, or unauthorized PIC changes, remains a major source of complaints about long distance service in Florida. There were approximately 1,000 in 1994. However, the hearing officer concluded there were legitimate concerns with the proposed rule. The single document requirement proposed would eliminate forms of inducement which seem to be well received by the public and beneficial to competition, specifically check-LOAs, and perhaps others which have not been the source of complaints. Moreover, it appears that many of the documents causing problems were infirm for reasons other than the fact the LOA was combined with an inducement. Some did not meet the requirements of existing LOA content, or were confusing even if a single document. Tailoring such promotions solely to comply with Florida restrictions could affect the availability of incentives apparently desired by the public. Also, it requires companies to spend additional sums of money to develop marketing strictly for Florida.

While making the LOA a separate document has a certain appeal as a straight-forward objective measure, there are no assurances that it would eliminate or materially affect the problem of persons being lured to sign up for a new carrier in pursuit of some other

reward or inducement. To some extent, no matter what form the advertising takes, some will see a misleading inducement where others see a clearly stated invitation.

The Hearing Officer concluded also that there may be legitimate concerns about the impact of the rule as proposed on commercial free speech.

The major changes made to the proposed rule are as follows:

- (1) The separate document requirement for LOAs has been removed;
- (2) The reference to the telecommunications company to which service is being charged must identify the actual service provider setting charges, not an underlying facilities based carrier whose service is resold. Apparently, there was a problem with the underlying carrier being advanced as the provider of the service, which was confusing to customers;
- been eliminated. Instead a statement that the customer's signature will effect a service change is required along with a statement of what comes with it, in that there can only be one service provider per number and that the LEC may charge for the switch;
- (4) A standard of "misleading or deceptive" for the document is established and a definition added;
  - (5) A section on non-English documents is added.

The FPSC, at the May 2, 1995 Agenda, endorsed the hearing officer's conclusions and adopted the attached final rules. (Attachment A) We thought that in view of our earlier filing of comments in your docket, we should alert you to these final rules. Respectfully submitted, The B. Mee CYNTHIA B. MILLER Associate General Counsel FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 101 East Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399 (904) 488-7464

1: 25-4:118 Interexchange Carrier Selection

**,** .

13

15

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

- The primary interexchange company (PID) of a sustamer shall not be changed without the customer's authorization. A local exchange company (LEC) shall accept PIC change requests by telephone call or letter directly from its customers.
- certificated interexchange company (IXC) acting on behalf of the customer. A certified IXC that will be billing in its name may submit a PIC change request, other than a customer-initiated PIC change, directly or through another IXC, to a LEC only if it has certified to the LEC that at least one of the following actions has occurred prior to the PIC change request:
  - (a) the IXC has on hand a ballot or letter from the customer requesting such change; or
  - and through a sequence of prompts, confirms the customer's requested change; or
    - (c) the customer's requested change is verified through a qualified, independent firm which is unaffiliated with any IXC; or
  - and has responded within three days by mailing of an information package that includes a prepaid, returnable postcard and an additional 14 days have past before the IXC submits the PIC change to the LEC. The information package should contain any information required by Rule 25-4.118(3).

- 3 .a. The ballot or letter submitted to the interexchange company requesting a PIC change shall include, but not be limited to, the following information (each shall be separately stated):
  - Customer name, phone/account number and address;
- 2. Company and the service to which the dustomer wishes to subscribe;
- 3. Statement that the person requesting the change is authorized to request the PIC change; and
  - 4. Customer signature.

5

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

(b) Every written document by means of which a customer can request a PIC change shall clearly identify the certificated telecommunications company to which the service is being changed, whether or not that company uses the facilities of another carrier. The page of the document containing the customer's signature shall contain a statement that the customer's signature or endorsement on the document will result in a change of the customer's long distance service provider and explain that only one long distance service provider may be designated for the telephone number listed; that the customer's selection will apply only to that number, and that the customer's local exchange company may charge a fee to switch service providers. Such statement shall be clearly legible and printed in type at least as large as any other text on the page. If any such document is not used solely for the purpose of requesting a PIC change, then the document as a whole must not be misleading or deceptive. For purposes of this rule, the terms

"misleading or deceptive" mean that, because of the style. Sormat or content of the document, it would not be readily apparent to the person signing the document that the purpose of the signature was to authorize a PIC change, or it would be unclear to the customer who the new long distance service provider would be; that the customer's selection would apply only to the number listed and there could only be one long distance service provider for that number; or that the customer's local exchange company might charge a fee to switch service providers. If any part of the document is written in a language other than English, then the document must contain all relevant information in the same language.

3 |

- (c) If a PIC change request results from either a customer initiated call or a request verified by an independent third party, the information set forth in (3)(a)1.--3. above shall be obtained from the customer.
- (d) Ballots or letters will be maintained by the IXC for a period of one year.
- (4) Customer requests for other services, such as travel card service, do not constitute a change in PIC.
- credited to the customer by the IXC responsible for the error within 45 days of notification. Upon notice from the customer of an unauthorized PIC change, the LEC shall change the customer back to the prior IXC, or another of the customer's choice. The change

must be made within 24 hours excepting Saturday. Sunday and holidays, in which case the change shall be made by the end of the next business day. In the case where the customer disputes the ballot or letter, the IXC appearing on the ballot/letter will be responsible for any charges incurred to change the PIC of the 3 customer. [6] The IXC shall provide the following disclosures when soliciting a change in service from a customer: 3 (a) Identification of the IXC; 9 10 (b) That the purpose of visit or call is to solicit a change of the PIC of the customer; (c) That the PIC can not be changed unless the customer 12 13 authorizes the change; and Any additional information as referenced in Rule 25-14 15 24.490(4). 15 Specific Authority 350.127(2), F.S. 17 Law Implemented 364.01, 364.19, 364.285, F.S. 13 History: 3/4/92, 5/31/95. 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

# CITATIONS PERTAINING TO FALSE & DECEPTIVE ADVERTISING

Specifically, in <u>Consumers Association of District of</u>
<u>Columbia (Television Advertising)</u>, 32 F.C.C.2d 400, 404405 (1971), the Commission stated:

"As we have previously made clear, the main thrust in the field of deceptive advertising must continue to come from the Federal Trade Commission, the agency specifically created by Congress to deal with that problem. That agency, unlike this Commission, has the capacity to formulate standards of deceptive advertising which are applicable to the various media. It thus has the scientific and related expertise which we lack in this area."

Since then, the Commission has repeated and reemphasized these conclusions in a variety of contexts. For example, in Petition of Action for Children's Television, 50 F.C.C.2d 1 (1974) (¶ 30), the Commission that the FTC "has far greater expertise in, and resources for, the regulation of false and deceptive advertising practices" than does the FCC. Again, in Rimination of Unnecessary Broadcast Regulation, 57 Rad. Reg. 2d (P&F) 913 (1985) (¶ 7), the Commission stated that, insofar as false and misleading commercials are concerned, "we believe that this agency has no special expertise... which would justify imposing strictures beyond those of the primary law enforcement mechanisms. The FTC is the agency with expertise in determining whether an advertisement is false or misleading."

This recognition of the FTC's greater agency expertise and resources has not been limited to the broadcast arena. For example, in Policies and Rules Concerning Interstate 900 Telecommunications Services, 6 FCC Rcd 6166 (1991) (¶ 26), when assessing the extent of the information that should be included in the preamble to "pay-per-call" services, the Commission gave great weight to the FTC's submission in that rulemaking, in view of its greater expertise in regulating misleading marketing. As the Commission stated there, "we find the comments of the FTC, the federal agency with expertise in dealing with deceptive practices, to be very persuasive" in explaining the basis for limitations in the preamble's contents. The foregoing statements, both in the mass media and common carrier contexts, show that the Commission has conceded that it has no special skill or competence in recognizing marketing practices which may be deceptive or misleading to consumers.

# LONG DISTANCE COMPANY SWITCHING

Prepared by The NPD Group, Inc. for:

AT&T

## **METHODOLOGY**

#### **BACKGROUND**

In connection with the FCC's rulemaking on customer PIC changes in Docket 94-129, AT&T contracted The NPD Group to conduct a research study of its PIC change switching process. The process under investigation is the use of checks combined with LOAs as a monetary incentive to get customers to switch to AT&T. The information gathered will be used to evaluate whether those customers who responded to the offer (signed and cashed the check) understood that by doing so they would be switched to AT&T.

#### **OBJECTIVES**

The primary objective of the research project is to answer the following question:

• Did the customers understand that when the check is signed and cashed, it becomes an authorization to switch to AT&T?

#### **METHODOLOGY**

AT&T provided The NPD Group with a sample file of 5,000 current AT&T customers that were won back via a check during the latter part of March, 1995. The NPD Group developed a 10-minute telephone questionnaire, programmed it in a CATI (Computer Assisted Telephone Interview) format and fielded it to 1,424 respondents for a total of 500 qualifying interviews. The study was conducted between April 18 and April 23, 1995.

#### **OUESTION SCREENING PROCESS**

Unaided - Were there any conditions to signing and cashing the check?

- What were the conditions?

Aided - You may have already answered this, but were you aware that by signing and cashing the check you would be switched to AT&T?

## **SUMMARY OF FINDINGS**

- 497 respondents received a mailing from AT&T in the past 3-4 months. The remaining 3 mailings were received by another member of the household.
- 486 out of the 500 (97%) looked at the mailing themselves. The remaining 14 mailings were looked at by another member of the household.
- All 500 respondents said that the mailing contained a check
  - 495 signed and cashed the check themselves
  - 5 checks were signed by another member of the household.
- In total, 494 respondents out of the 500 interviewed were aware that by signing and cashing the check, they would be switched to AT&T.

Unaided Awareness

- 334 were aware on an unaided basis that by signing and cashing the check they would be switched to AT&T.

Aided Awareness

The remaining 166 respondents were aided; of them, 160 answered that they were aware that they would be switched.

#### Project Y4AT6441 - Long Distance Company Switching

		,
Page	Table	Title
1	1	Q.A-1 - Which is the PRIMARY long distance telephone company you are currently using at home? That is the telephone company that carries your long distance calls made from your home when you call out of your state.
2	2	Q.B - How many months have you been a customer of?
3	3	Q.C - Did your household receive any mailing materials from AT&T in the past 3 to 4 months?
4	4	Q.G - Did the mailing contain a check?
5	5	Q.H - Did you sign and cash the check?
6	6	Q.I - Did anyone else in the household sign and cash the check?
7	7	Q.I2 - I spoke to another member of your household who mentioned that you looked at mailing materials from AT&T, and signed and cashed the enclosed check. Is that correct?
8	8	Q.J - Mere there any conditions to signing and cashing the check?
9	9	Q.J1 - What were the conditions?
10	10	Q.K - You may have already answered this but, were you aware that by signing and cashing the check you would be switched to AT&T?
11	11	Q.1a - Now, a few questions for classification purposes only. During an average month, about how much does your household spend on the LONG DISTANCE PORTION of your monthly telephone bill?
13	12	Q.1b - Of the amount your household spends on long distance, please tell me approximately what percent is spent on international calls made from your home?
14	13	Q.2 - What is the last level of education you completed?
15	14	Q.3 - Which of the following represents your household's total yearly income before taxes?
17	15	Q.4 - Many people classify themselves as either white, African American, Asian, Hispanic, Native American or some other background. What do you consider yourself?
18	16	Q.5 - Please tell me your age. Please tell me which of the following categories includes your age. You can stop me when I reach your category.
	•	
20	17	Q.6 - Sex

21

.